

بعض الفئات من الدوال التحليلية بواسطة استخدام n -th جداء هادامارد
عفاف ابوالقاسم ابوبكر - كلية العلوم الزاوية - جامعة الزاوية

ملخص :

في هذا البحث، نحن نشق بعض الشروط الكافية لأجل بعض الفئات الفرعية من الدوال التحليلية بواسطة استخدام n -th جداء هادامارد.

ON SOME CLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

BY INVOLVING n – th HADAMARD PRODUCT

Afaf Abubaker

Dept. of Mathematics-Faculty of Science-Zawia University

Abstract:- In this paper, we derive some sufficient conditions for certain subclasses of analytic functions by involving n – th Hadamard product.

Keywords:

analytic function, generalized operator, differential subordination.

1- Introduction

Let A denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $U = \{z \in U : |z| < 1\}$ and are normalized by the conditions $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. A function $f; f'(0) \neq 0$, is said to be close-to-convex in U , if and only if,

there is a starlike function h (not necessarily normalized) such that

$$\Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{h(z)}\right\} > 0, \quad z \in U.$$

For two function $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

(1.2)

Their Hadamard product is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

Let f and g be analytic in U . We say that f is subordinate to g in U , written as

$f(z) \prec g(z)$ in U , if g is univalent in U , $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

And several functions $f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_n(z) \in A$, by n -th Hadamard product is defined as follows

$$(f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_n)(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{1k} a_{2k} \dots a_{nk} z^k.$$

We recall here a general Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function $\phi(z, s, b)$ defined by: (see, for example [cf., e.g., [10]], [11]).

$$\phi(z, s, b) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(k+b)^s},$$

$$(b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\bar{Z}_0\}; s \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ when } |z| < 1, \Re(s) > 1 \text{ when } |z| = 1)$$

Where, as usual, $\bar{Z}_0 = Z \setminus \{N\}, Z = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}; N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. We define by Hadamard product n -th order as follows:

$$\psi(z, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = \underbrace{\phi(z, s_1, b_1) * \phi(z, s_2, b_2) * \dots * \phi(z, s_n, b_n)}_{n\text{-times}}$$

Now we define the linear operator $I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z) : A^n \rightarrow A$, as follows:

$$I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z) = J(z, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) * f(z), z \in U$$

where for convenience

$$J(z, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = (1+b_1)^{s_1} \dots (1+b_n)^{s_n} [\psi(z, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) - b_1^{-s_1} \dots b_n^{-s_n}].$$

It is easy to observe we get

$$I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z) = z + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1+b_i}{k+b_i} \right)^{s_i} a_k z^k$$

(1.3)

where $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in C \setminus \{\bar{Z}_0\}; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in C$ and $z \in U$.

Also we define the integral operator as follows:

$$J(z, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) * J^{(-1)}(z, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\lambda}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_\lambda(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z) &= J^{(-1)}(z, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) * f(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1+b_i}{k+b_i} \right)^{s_i} \frac{(\lambda+1)_{k-1}}{(k-1)!} a_k z^k, \end{aligned}$$

(1.4)

where $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in C \setminus \{\bar{Z}_0\}; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in C$ and $\lambda > -1$.

For $I(s_1, 0, \dots, 0, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z)$ were introduced by Srivastava and Attiya [9] (see also Raducanu and Srivastava [7], Liu [2] and Prajapat et.al.[6]). And for $L_\lambda(s_1, 0, \dots, 0, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z)$ where $s_1, \lambda \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$ were introduced by Al-Shaqsi and M.Darus [1], and for $L_\lambda(0, 0, \dots, 0, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z)$ is the differential operator defined by Ruscheweyh[8].

For a function $f(z) \in A$, we say that $f \in R(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, \alpha, \delta)$ if it satisfies

$$\left| (I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z))' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z} \right| < \delta$$

for some real

$$\alpha(-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi), \delta > \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \alpha)}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in C \setminus \{\bar{Z}_0\}; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in C, \text{ and}$$

for some $g \in A$. Furthermore, a function $f(z) \in A$ is said to be in the class $f \in R^*(0, 0, \dots, 0, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$ if it satisfies

$$\left| (L_\lambda(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z))' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{L_\lambda(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z} \right| < \delta$$

for some real

$$\alpha(-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi), \delta > \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \alpha)}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in C \setminus \{\bar{Z}_0\}; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in C, \lambda > -1.$$

For $f \in R(0, 0, \dots, 0, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, \alpha, \delta)$ were introduced by S.Owa, Y. Polatoglu et.al.[4].

In the present study, we apply a method based on the differential subordination

to obtain sufficient conditions for certain subclasses of analytic function by involving n -th Hadamard product.

$$(I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z))' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z} \prec q(z)$$

and

$$(L_\lambda(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z))' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{L_\lambda(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z} \prec q(z).$$

2- Preliminaries

We shall need following definition and lemmas to prove our results.

Definition 2.1

A function $L(z, t); z \in U$ and $t \geq 0$ is said to be a subordination chain if $L(:, t)$ is analytic and univalent in U for all $t \geq 0$, $L(z, \cdot)$ is continuously differentiable on $[0, 1)$ for all $z \in U$ and $L(z, t_1) \prec L(z, t_2)$ for all $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$.

Lemma 2.2 [5]

The function $L(z, t): U \times [0, 1) \rightarrow C$ (C is the set of complex numbers), of the

form $L(z, t) = a_1(t)z + \dots$ with $a_1(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |a_1(t)| = \infty$

, is said to be a subordination chain if and only if $\Re\left\{\frac{z}{\partial L / \partial t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}\right\} > 0$,

for all $z \in U$

and $t \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.3 [3]

Let $p(z)$ be analytic in U and let q be analytic and univalent in \bar{U} except for points ζ_0 such that $\lim_{\zeta_0 \rightarrow \infty} p(z) = \infty$, $p(z) = 1$, with $p(0) = q(0)$. If $p \prec q$ in U , then there is a point $z_0 \in U$ and $\zeta_0 \in \partial U$, (boundary of U) such that $p(|z| < |z_0|) \subset q(E)$, $p(z_0) = q(\zeta_0)$ and $z_0 p'(z_0) = m \zeta_0 q'(\zeta_0)$ for some $m \geq 1$.

3- Main Result

Theorem 3.1

Let $\Re\beta \geq 0$ be a complex number and $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2})$. Let q be univalent function

such that either $\frac{1}{q(z)}$ is convex in U . If

analytic, satisfies the differential subordination

$$\beta - \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{p(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p^2(z)} \prec \beta - \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{q(z)} + \frac{zq'(z)}{q^2(z)} \tag{3.1}$$

then

$$(I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z))' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z} \prec q(z)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Proof:

Let h a function define

$$h(z) = \beta - \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{q(z)} + \frac{zq'(z)}{q^2(z)} \tag{3.2}$$

Differentiating (3.2) and simplifying a little, we have

$$\frac{zh'(z)}{F(z)} = e^{i\alpha} + \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \tag{3.3}$$

where $F(z) = \frac{zq'(z)}{q^2(z)}$, then we obtain $\Re\left\{\frac{zh'(z)}{F(z)}\right\} \geq 0, z \in U$.

Thus $h(z)$ is close-to-convex and hence univalent in U . We need to show that

$$(I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z))' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z} \prec q(z).$$

Suppose to the contrary that

$$(I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z))' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z} \not\prec q(z).$$

Then by Lemma 2.2, there exist points $z_0 \in U$ and $\zeta_0 \in \partial U$ such that $p(z_0) = q(\zeta_0)$ and $z_0 p'(z_0) = m \zeta_0 q'(\zeta_0); m \geq 1$. Then

$$\beta - \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{p(z_0)} + \frac{z p'(z_0)}{p^2(z_0)} = \beta - \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{q(\zeta_0)} + \frac{z m \zeta_0 q'(\zeta_0)}{q^2(\zeta_0)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Consider a function $L(z, t)$ is analytic in U for all $t \geq 0$ and is continuously differentiable on $[0, 1)$ for all $z \in U$, as follows:

$$L(z, t) = \beta - \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{q(z)} + (1+t) \frac{z \zeta q'(z)}{q^2(z)}, \tag{3.5}$$

we have $a_1(t) = (\frac{\partial L(z, t)}{\partial z})_{(0,1)} = q'(0)(e^{i\alpha} + 1 + t)$. In view of the condition $0 \leq \cos \alpha \leq 1$ and $t \geq 0$. Also, as q is univalent in U , so, $q'(0) \neq 0$. Therefore, it follows that $a_1(0) \neq 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |a_1(t)| = \infty$. A

simple calculation yields $\{\frac{z \partial L / \partial z}{\partial L / \partial t}\} = e^{i\alpha} + (1+t) \frac{z F'(z)}{F(z)}$. Then we

get $\Re\{\frac{z \partial L / \partial z}{\partial L / \partial t}\} > 0$ in view of given conditions. Hence, $L(z, t)$ is a

subordination chain. Therefore, $L(z, t_1) \prec L(z, t_2)$ for $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$.

From (3.5), we have $L(0, t) = h(z)$, thus we deduce that

$L(\zeta_0, t) \notin h(U)$ for $|\zeta_0|=1$ and $t \geq 0$. In view of (3.4) and (3.5), we can write

$$\beta - \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{p(z_0)} + \frac{zp'(z_0)}{p^2(z_0)} = L(\zeta_0, m-1) \notin h(U) \text{ where } z_0 \in U, |\zeta_0|=1 \text{ and } m \geq 1$$

which is contradiction to (3.1). Hence

$$(L(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f))(z))' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z} \prec q(z).$$

Using a similar method, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2

Let $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2})$. Let q be univalent function such that either

$\frac{1}{q(z)}$ is convex in U . If

$$p(z) = (L_\lambda(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f))(z))' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{L_\lambda(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z}$$

analytic, satisfies the differential subordination

$$1 - \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{p(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p^2(z)} \prec 1 - \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{q(z)} + \frac{zq'(z)}{q^2(z)}, \text{ then}$$

$$(L_\lambda(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f))(z))' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{L_\lambda(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z} \prec q(z)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

By taking $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ in Theorem 3.1, we have

the following

Corollary 3.3

Let $\Re\beta \geq 0$ be a complex number and A and B $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2})$. Let q be univalent function such that either $\frac{1}{q(z)}$ is convex in U . If

$$p(z) = (I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z))' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z}$$

analytic, satisfies the differential subordination

$$\beta - \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{p(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p^2(z)} \prec \beta - e^{i\alpha} \frac{1+Bz}{1+Az} + \frac{(A-B)z}{(1+Az)^2}, \text{ then}$$

$$(I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z))' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}.$$

By taking $\beta = 0, B = -1, A = 1$ in Theorem 3.1, we have the following:

Corollary 3.4

Let $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi/2)$ Let q be univalent function such that either

$$\frac{1}{q(z)} \text{ is convex in } U.$$

$$p(z) = (I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z))' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z}$$

analytic, satisfies the differential subordination

$$\beta - \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{p(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p^2(z)} \prec \beta - e^{i\alpha} \frac{1-z}{1+z} + \frac{2z}{(1+z)^2}, \text{ then}$$

$$(I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f))(z))' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Taking $\alpha = \pi/2$ in Theorem 3.1, we have

Corollary 3.5

Let $\Re\beta \geq 0$ be a complex number. Let q be univalent function such that either

$$\frac{1}{q(z)} \text{ is convex in } U. \text{ If}$$

$$p(z) = (I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f))(z))' - i \frac{I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z}$$

analytic, satisfies the differential subordination

$$\beta - \frac{i}{p(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p^2(z)} \prec \beta - \frac{i}{q(z)} + \frac{zq'(z)}{q^2(z)}, \text{ then}$$

$$(I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f))(z))' - i \frac{I(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z} \prec q(z).$$

By taking $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $I(0, 0, \dots, 0, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f)(z)$

in Theorem 3.1, we have the following:

Corollary 3.6

Let $\Re\beta \geq 0$ be a complex number and $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2})$. Let q be univalent function

such that either $\frac{1}{q(z)}$ is convex in U .

If $p(z) = f'(z) - e^{i\alpha} g(z)$ analytic, satisfies the differential subordination

$$\beta - \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{p(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p^2(z)} \prec \beta - e^{i\alpha} \frac{1+Bz}{1+Az} + \frac{(A-B)z}{(1+Az)^2}, \text{ then}$$

$$f'(z) - e^{i\alpha} \frac{g(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

By taking $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 3.2, we have the following

Corollary 3.7

Let q be univalent function such that either

$$\frac{1}{q(z)} \text{ is convex in } U. \text{ If}$$

$$p(z) = (L_\lambda(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f))(z)' - e^{i\alpha} \frac{L_\lambda(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z}$$

analytic, satisfies the differential subordination

$$1 - \frac{1}{p(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p^2(z)} \prec 1 - \frac{1+Bz}{1+Az} + \frac{(A-B)z}{(1+Az)^2}, \text{ then}$$

$$(L_\lambda(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(f))(z)' - \frac{L_\lambda(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)(g)(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}.$$

References

- [1] K. Al-Shaqsi and M. Darus, (2009), "A multiplier transformation defined by convolution involving nth order polylogarithms functions", *International Mathematical Forum*, 4, 1823-1837.
- [2] J.-L. Liu, (2008), "Subordinations for certain multivalent analytic functions associated with the generalized Srivastava-Attiya operator", *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 19, 893-901.
- [3] S. S. Miller, and P. T. Mocanu, (1981), "Differential subordination and univalent functions", *Michigan Math. J.*, 28 (1981), 157-171.
- [4] S. Owa, Y. Polatoglu, E. Yavuz Duman and M. Aydoğan, (2010), "New sub-classes of Certain Analytic Functions, Southeast", *Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 34, 451-459.
- [5] Pommerenke, Ch., 1975, "Univalent functions", Vanderhoeck and Ruprecht, Gotingen.
- [6] J. K. Prajapat and S. P. Goyal, (2009), "Applications of Srivastava-Attiya operator to the classes of strongly starlike and strongly convex functions", *J. Math. Inequal.*, 3, 129-137.
- [7] D. Raducanu and H. M. Srivastava, (2007), "A new class of analytic functions defined by means of a convolution operator involving the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function", *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 18, 933-943.
- [8] St. Ruscheweyh, (1975), "New criteria for univalent functions", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 49, 109-115.
- [9] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, (2007), "An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function and differential subordination", *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 18, 207-216.
- [10] H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi, 2001, "Series Associated with the Zeta and Related Functions", Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- [11] Challab K. A., Darus M. and Ghanim F., (2017), "On q-hypergeometric Functions", *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 10, 2095-21

